

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/501,627	Applicant(s) GUILLARME ET AL.	
	Examiner Hal I. Kaplan	Art Unit 2836	

All Participants:

(1) Hal I. Kaplan.

(2) Kristy J. Downing.

Date of Interview: 30 May 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: Claims 30-36 allowed; claims 1, 4, 5, and 29 are rejected; claims 3, 6-11, 13-21, 23-25, 27, and 28 are objected to.

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:40 p.m.

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


BRIAN SIRCUS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
--------------------------	---

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Specification paragraph numbers referenced in amendment did not match the version submitted with preliminary amendment dated July 15, 2004. Applicant called the next day and confirmed that the published version of the specification (2005/0083715) is the correct version..